

## Note on purity of bi-ideals on semigroups

by

Nobuaki KUROKI

(Received on November 15, 1974)

1. A subsemigroup  $A$  of a semigroup  $S$  is called a bi-ideal of  $S$  if  $ASA \subseteq A$ . The notion of bi-ideal was introduced by R. A. Good and D. Hughes [2]. It was also a special case of the  $(m, n)$ -ideal introduced by S. Lajos [5]. Let  $B(S)$  be the set of all bi-ideals of a semigroup  $S$ . We define a binary operation on  $B(S)$  as follows: For  $X, Y \in B(S)$ ,

$$XY = \{xy : x \in X \text{ and } y \in Y\}.$$

Then the product  $XY$  is a bi-ideal of  $S$  ([6] Theorem 8), and  $B(S)$  is a semigroup.

A semigroup  $S$  is called regular if, for any element  $a$  of  $S$ , there exists an element  $x$  in  $S$  such that  $a = axa$ .

J. Luh has given in [8] the following:

PROPOSITION 1. *For a semigroup  $S$  the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1)  $S$  is regular;
- (2)  $B(S)$  is regular.

The following is evident:

PROPOSITION 2. *If  $B(S)$  is idempotent, then it is regular.*

The present note is concerned with the problem: For what kind of semigroups does the converse of Proposition 2 hold?

A semigroup  $S$  is called intra-regular if, for any element  $a$  of  $S$ , there exist  $x$  and  $y$  in  $S$  such that  $a = xa^2y$ . S. Lajos proved that, for an intra-regular semigroup  $S$ ,  $B(S)$  is idempotent if and only if and only if  $B(S)$  is regular ([7] Theorem 36), and gave an example of a semigroup  $S$  such that  $B(S)$  is regular but not idempotent ([7] Example 4). A semigroup  $S$  is called normal if  $aS = Sa$  for all elements  $a$  of  $S$  ([9]). The author proved that, for a normal semigroup  $S$ ,  $B(S)$  is idempotent if and only if  $B(S)$  is regular ([4]).

In discussing the above problem we shall introduce the notion of purity of bi-ideals of a semigroup, which is called the  $T$ -purity. It is an analogous notion of  $I$ -pure ideal of a semigroup introduced by the author [3]. In this note we shall give some properties of  $T$ -pure bi-

ideals and prove that, for a semigroup  $S$  such that every bi-ideal of it is  $T$ -pure,  $B(S)$  is idempotent if and only if  $B(S)$  is regular. For the terminology not defined here we refer to the book by A. H. Clifford and G. B. Preston [1].

2. A bi-ideal  $A$  of a semigroup  $S$  is called  $T$ -pure if

$$A \cap xSy = xAy$$

for all elements  $x$  and  $y$  of  $S$ . A semigroup  $S$  is called  $T^*$ -pure if every bi-ideal of it is  $T$ -pure. The semigroup  $S$  itself is a trivial example of a  $T$ -pure bi-ideal of  $S$ . It is clear that a group is a  $T^*$ -pure semigroup (see, [1] p. 84).

We denote by  $[a]$  the principal bi-ideal of a semigroup  $S$  generated by  $a$  in  $S$ . Then, by S. Lajos [5],

$$[a] = a \cup a^2 \cup aSa.$$

LEMMA 3. For any bi-ideal  $A$  of a semigroup  $S$ , the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1)  $A \cap XSY = XAY$  for all  $X, Y \in B(S)$ ;
- (2)  $A \cap [x]S[y] = [x]A[y]$  for all  $x, y \in S$ .

*Proof.* It is clear that (1) implies (2). Assume that (2) holds. Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be any bi-ideals of  $S$  and  $a = xsy$  ( $a \in A$ ,  $x \in X$ ,  $s \in S$ ,  $y \in Y$ ) any element of  $A \cap XSY$ . Then we have

$$a = xsy \in A \cap [x]S[y] = [x]A[y] \subseteq XAY.$$

Thus we have

$$A \cap XSY \subseteq XAY$$

for all  $X, Y \in B(S)$ . We note that (2) implies that

$$[x]A[y] \subseteq A$$

for all  $x, y \in S$ . Then in order to prove that

$$XAY \subseteq A$$

for all  $X, Y \in B(S)$ , let  $xay$  ( $x \in X$ ,  $a \in A$ ,  $y \in Y$ ) be any element of  $XAY$ . Then we have

$$xay \in [x]A[y] \subseteq A$$

and so we have

$$XAY \subseteq A.$$

Since the inclusion

$$XAY \subseteq XSY$$

always holds, we have

$$XAY \subseteq A \cap XSY$$

for all  $X, Y \in B(S)$ . Therefore we have

$$A \cap XSY = XAY$$

for all  $X, Y \in B(S)$ . Thus we obtain that (2) implies (1).

The proof is complete.

LEMMA 4. *Let  $A$  be any  $T$ -pure bi-ideal of a smigroup  $S$ . Then any one of the conditions (1), (2) of Lemma 3 holds.*

*Proof.* It suffices to prove that (1) of Lemma 3 holds. Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be any bi-ideals of  $S$ , and  $a = xsy$  ( $a \in A$ ,  $x \in X$ ,  $s \in S$ ,  $y \in Y$ ) any element of  $A \cap XSY$ . Then we have

$$a = xsy \in A \cap xSy = xAy \subseteq XAY$$

and so we have

$$A \cap XSY \subseteq XAY.$$

Let  $xay$  ( $x \in X$ ,  $a \in A$ ,  $y \in Y$ ) be any element of  $XAY$ . Then we have

$$xay \in xAy = A \cap xSy \subseteq A \cap XSY$$

and so we have

$$XAY \subseteq A \cap XSY.$$

Thus we obtain that

$$A \cap XSY = XAY$$

for all  $X, Y \in B(S)$ , and that the  $T$ -purity of the bi-ideal  $A$  implies that (1) of Lemma 3 holds.

THEOREM 5. *For a semigroup  $S$  the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1)  $S$  is  $T^*$ -pure.
- (2) Every principal bi-ideal of  $S$  is  $T$ -pure.

*Proof.* It is clear that (1) implies (2). Assume that (2) holds. Let  $A$  be any bi-ideal of  $S$ , and  $x$  and  $y$  any elements of  $S$ . Let  $a = xsy$  ( $a \in A$ ,  $s \in S$ ) be an element of  $A \cap xSy$ . Then we have

$$a = xsy \in [a] \cap xSy = x[a]y \subseteq xAy$$

and so we have

$$A \cap xSy \subseteq xAy$$

for all  $x, y \in S$ . We note that (2) implies

$$x[a]y \subseteq [a]$$

for all  $x, y \in S$ . In order to prove that

$$xAy \subseteq A$$

for all  $x, y \in S$ , let  $xay$  ( $a \in A$ ) be any element of  $xAy$ . Then we have

$$xay \in x[a]y \subseteq [a] \subseteq A$$

and so we have

$$xAy \subseteq A$$

for all  $x, y \in S$ . Since the inclusion

$$xAy \subseteq xSy$$

always holds, we have

$$xAy \subseteq A \cap xSy$$

for all  $x, y \in S$ . Thus we obtain that

$$A \cap xSy = xAy$$

for all  $x, y \in S$ . Therefore we obtain that (2) implies (1).

This completes the proof of the theorem.

3. In this section we consider some properties of minimal  $T$ -pure bi-ideals of a semigroup.

**THEOREM 6.** *For any minimal bi-ideal  $A$  of a semigroup  $S$ , the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1)  $A$  is  $T$ -pure;
- (2)  $A = xAy$  for all  $x, y \in S$ .

*Proof.* Assume that  $A$  is  $T$ -pure. Then, for all  $x, y \in S$ , we have

$$xAy = A \cap xSy \subseteq A.$$

Since  $xAy$  is a bi-ideal of  $S$  by Theorem 8 of [6], it follows from the minimality of  $A$  that

$$xAy = A.$$

Thus we obtain that (1) implies (2).

Conversely we assume that (2) holds. Then, for all  $x, y \in S$ , we have

$$A \cap xSy = xAy \cap xSy = xAy.$$

This means that  $A$  is  $T$ -pure. Therefore we obtain that (2) implies (1).

**COROLLARY 7.** *The minimal  $T$ -pure bi-ideal of a semigroup is regular.*

*Proof.* Let  $A$  be the minimal  $T$ -pure bi-ideal of a semigroup  $S$ , and  $a$  any element of  $A$ . Then by Theorem 6 we have

$$a \in A = aAa .$$

This means that  $A$  is regular.

LEMMA 8. *For any bi-ideal  $A$  of a semigroup  $S$ , the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1)  $A = XAY$  for all  $X, Y \in B(S)$ ;
- (2)  $A = [x]A[y]$  for all  $x, y \in S$ .

*Proof.* It is clear that (1) implies (2). Assume that (2) holds. Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be any bi-ideals of  $S$ , and  $x$  and  $y$  respectively elements of  $X$  and  $Y$ . Then we have

$$A = [x]A[y] \subseteq XAY .$$

Let  $xay$  ( $x \in X, a \in A, y \in Y$ ) be any element of  $XAY$ . Then we have

$$xay \in [x]A[y] = A$$

and so we have

$$XAY \subseteq A .$$

Thus we have

$$A = XAY$$

for all  $X, Y \in B(S)$ . Therefore we obtain that (2) implies (1).

THEOREM 9. *Let  $A$  be any minimal  $T$ -pure bi-ideal of a semigroup  $S$ . Then any one of the conditions (1), (2) of Lemma 8 holds.*

*Proof.* It suffices to prove that (1) of Lemma 8 holds. Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be any bi-ideals of  $S$ . Then, since  $A$  is  $T$ -pure, it follows from Lemma 4 that

$$XAY = A \cap XSY \subseteq A .$$

Since  $XAY$  is a bi-ideal of  $S$ , it follows from the minimality of  $A$  that

$$XAY = A .$$

Therefore we obtain that (1) of Lemma 8 holds.

4. A semigroup  $S$  is called  $T$ -pure-free if it does not properly contain any  $T$ -pure bi-ideal. In this section we give a class of a  $T$ -pure-free semigroup.

A semigroup  $S$  is called archimedean if, for any elements  $a$  and  $b$  of  $S$ , there exists a positive integer  $n$  for which

$$a^n \in SbS .$$

THEOREM 10. *A cancellative archimedean semigroup without idempotent is  $T$ -pure-free.*

*Proof.* Let  $A$  be any  $T$ -pure bi-ideal of a cancellative archimedean semigroup  $S$  without idempotent, and  $a$  and  $s$  respectively any elements

of  $A$  and  $S$ . Since  $S$  is archimedean, there exist elements  $x$  and  $y$  in  $S$  and a positive integer  $n$  such that

$$a^n = xsy.$$

Since  $A$  is  $T$ -pure, we have

$$a^n = xsy \in A \cap xSy = xAy.$$

This implies that there exists an element  $b$  in  $A$  such that

$$xsy = xby.$$

Since  $S$  is cancellative, we have

$$s = b \in A$$

and so we have

$$S \subseteq A.$$

This we obtain that

$$A = S.$$

Since  $A$  is any  $T$ -pure bi-ideal of  $S$ , this means that  $S$  is  $T$ -pure-free. This completes the proof of the theorem.

5. In this section we give our main result.

**THEOREM 11.** *For a  $T^*$ -pure semigroup  $S$  the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1)  $S$  is regular;
- (2)  $B(S)$  is regular;
- (3)  $B(S)$  is idempotent.

*Proof.* By Propositions 1 and 2, it suffices to prove that (2) implies (3). We assume that  $B(S)$  is regular. Let  $A$  be a bi-ideal of  $S$ . Then for some  $X \in B(S)$  we have

$$A = AXA \subseteq ASA \subseteq A$$

and so we have

$$A = ASA.$$

Since  $A$  is  $T$ -pure, it follows from Lemma 4 that

$$XAY = A \cap XSY$$

for all  $X, Y \in B(S)$ . This holds for  $X = Y = A$ . Then we have

$$A^3 = A \cap ASA = A \cap A = A$$

and so we have

$$A = A^3 \subseteq A^2 \subseteq A.$$

Thus we have

$$A = A^2.$$

Therefore we obtain that  $B(S)$  is idempotent. This completes the proof of the theorem.

### References

- [1] CLIFFORD A. H. and PRESTON G. B.; The Algebraic Theory of Semigroups, Vol. I, 2nd ed. Math. Surveys No. 7, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., (1964).
- [2] GOOD R. A. and HUGES D. R.; Associated groups for semigroups. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **58** (1952) 624-625.
- [3] KUROKI N.; Note on purity of ideals on semigroups. Comment. Math. Univ. St. Pauli **22** (1973) 13-17.
- [4] ———; On normal semigroups. (unpublished).
- [5] LAJOS S.; Generalized ideals in semigroups. Acta Sci. Math. Szeged, **22** (1961) 217-222.
- [6] ———; Note on  $(m, n)$ -ideals. II. Proc. Japan Acad., **40** (1964) 631-632.
- [7] ———; Theorems in  $(1, 1)$ -ideals in semigroups. Dept. Math. K. Marx Univ. of Economics, Budapest (1972).
- [8] LUH J.; A characterization of regular rings. Proc. Japan Acad., **39** (1964) 741-742.
- [9] SCHWARZ, ŠT.; A theorem on normal semigroups. Czechoslovak Math. J., **10** (85) (1960) 179-200

College of Science and Technology  
Nihon University  
Narashinodai, Funabashi-shi,  
Chiba-ken, Japan.